

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,000	02/10/2006	Paul J. Coleman	21485YP	2668
MERCK AND	7590 07/03/200 OCO INC	8	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 2000)		CHU, YONG LIANG	
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brie	1

Application No.		Applicant(s)				
10/568,000		COLEMAN ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	YONG CHU	1626				

	YONG CHU	1626							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extuder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);								
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.							
NOTE: Claim 2 is indefinite because there is no di under 35 U.S.C. 103a for unexpected results by sule and ODP rejections because 1) it is not direct claimed pyrrolidine analog (cited compound); 2 lth instant application. With regards to ODP rejection overlaps with the instant application, see previous	efinition of Rd under R3. The argur bmitting Cox pending publication is -by-side comparision, a piperdine a e publication date of Cox publicatio the 580 patent does contain a sp	ment of the rejection of not sufficient to overcon analog is non-obvious n is later than the filing pecies at column 20, lir	ome the 103a over instantly g date of the						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	·								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n or the status of the claims after er	nay is below or attach	ou.						
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu IDS has been considered, and for the conclusion, see 36		condition for allowan	ce because:						
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>06/12/20</u>	008							

/Kamal A Saeed, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080626